ERIN HANNIGAN District 1, Vice-Chair (707) 553-5363 MONICA BROWN District 2, (707) 784-3031 WANDA WILLIAMS District 3, (707) 784-6136 JOHN M. VASQUEZ District 4, (707) 784-6129 MITCH MASHBURN District 5, Chair, (707) 784-6030





BILL EMLEN County Administrator (707) 784-6100

675 Texas Street, Suite 6500 Fairfield, CA 94533-6342 Fax (707) 784-6665

www.solanocounty.com

May 6, 2024

The Honorable Buffy Wicks Chairwoman, Assembly Appropriations Committee 1021 O Street, Ste. 8140 Sacramento, CA 95814

## RE: OPPOSE – AB 2882 (McCarty), California Community Corrections Performance Incentives

Dear Chairwoman Wicks,

On behalf of the Solano County Board of Supervisors, I write to inform you that we must respectfully oppose AB 2882, which would not only amend the composition of the local Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) and the CCP Executive Committee, but also impose new plan development and processing requirements at the local level. Additionally, it would burden the County with considerable new CCP data collection and reporting requirements, potentially leading to a significant increase in administrative workload and costs.

AB 2882 proposes to increase the level of service associated with the responsibilities required of local CCPs related to developing an implementation plan for AB 109 (Chapter 15, 2011); given that these new community corrections responsibilities were enacted as part of 2011 Realignment, they are subject to Proposition 30 protections. To make matters worse, this bill proposes no funding to cover counties' costs associated with carrying out these additional responsibilities and higher levels of service beyond what was defined in 2011 Realignment legislation. Beyond the Prop 30 considerations, the fiscal impacts contemplated by this measure come at a time when neither the state nor counties have sufficient resources to perform their existing responsibilities.

Counties already report annually to the BSCC about their local community corrections plans developed by the local CCP; the BSCC posts these detailed and voluminous reports annually. In the Legislature's early budget action, \$7.95 million in CCP grants, which have been awarded every year since 2011 and are conditioned upon counties' submission of the CCP reports, is slated to be eliminated. It seems especially inappropriate to saddle counties with new duties and responsibilities at a time when funding that today accompanies existing reporting responsibilities for the same program has been zeroed out.

The objective of AB 2882 appears to seek reprioritization of an existing community corrections revenue stream to address the behavioral health treatment needs of justice-involved individuals. However, the County is concerned that the measure focuses on the oversight and planning associated with a single subaccount in isolation without considering (1) that the justice-involved population realigned to counties under AB 109 in 2011 has many needs, including but not limited to behavioral health treatment needs, (2) other revenue sources brought to bear in supporting the populations in counties' care, and (3) other significant policy changes that took place concurrent to 2011 Realignment, as well as more recent initiatives that fundamentally revise behavioral health funding and service delivery at the local level.

For these reasons, Solano County must respectfully oppose AB 2882.

Honorable Buffy Wicks May 6, 2024

Sincerely,

Mitch N. Machbur

Mitch Mashburn, Chair Solano County Board of Supervisors

CC:

Members, Assembly Appropriations Committee The Honorable Kevin McCarty, California State Assemblymember The Honorable Bill Dodd, California State Senator The Honorable Lori Wilson, California State Assemblymember Honorable Solano County Board of Supervisors Dear Farrah, Chief Probation Officer, Solano County Paragon Government Relations Karen Lange, SYASL Partners, Inc.