

Rebuttal arguments in support or opposition of the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors.

Rebuttal to Argument against Measure K

UCSF medical school recently published a Public Health Analysis to understand the impacts of marijuana legalization under Proposition 64. The Analysis concluded that Proposition 64 could have serious public health consequences, similar to tobacco and alcohol use. If Measure K is approved, the tax revenue generated would help to defray those costs.

For example, if Proposition 64 passes, the City could see an increase in marijuana use in City parks and other public places. Measure K, if adopted, could provide revenue for the City to develop better park programming. This would help to offset some of the negative consequences of recreational marijuana use.

Contrary to the opponents' claims, Measure K will not automatically impose a 15% tax. Instead, Measure K allows the City Council to tax marijuana sales in any amount ranging from 1% through 15%. The City Council could also choose not to adopt any business tax.

If Proposition 64 is adopted, the City Council will seek your input on whether to allow marijuana dispensaries in City limits, for recreational or medicinal purposes. If you are concerned about negative impacts of marijuana use after Proposition 64, join us and vote YES on MEASURE K.

/s/ Jack Batchelor, Mayor
City of Dixon

/s/ Jerry Castañon, Sr., Councilmember
City of Dixon

/s/ Steve Bird, Councilmember
City of Dixon