

REBUTTAL TO AUGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE L

The City Council's Argument for Measure L states that Measure L will "ensure the most qualified individuals" will be selected for City Treasurer. Really?? The qualifications for City Council are exactly the same as the City Treasurer: 18 years old, registered voter, and resident of Dixon. What makes the Council more qualified than the voters to select the City Treasurer?

The Council says that it will "save money" on elections. This is the same Council that approved spending \$200,000 of your money for legal fees to block your Constitutional right to vote on the sewer issue.

The Council argues that "the City's financial accountability practices eliminate the need for an elected City Treasurer." Yet if anyone's irresponsible spending needs to be watched by an elected Treasurer, it's the Council! Obviously, the proponents want you to believe that it's not important to have an elected Treasurer as an independent check on their actions.

The Argument for Measure L talks about checks and balances, and independent audits; but, the Council wants to eliminate electing the Treasurer, an important element of independent checks and balances. The council's real goal is control.

How can the Treasurer be truly independent if that person is hired/fired/controlled by the City Manager and City Council? Remember the City of Bell: look how that turned out with their supposed "internal" controls!

DO NOT BE FOOLED INTO GIVING UP YOUR RIGHT TO VOTE FOR YOUR CITY TREASURER!

VOTE NO ON MEASURE L!

s/ Solano County Taxpayers Association, George Guynn Jr. President

s/ Gary Wayne Riddle, Former Elected City Treasurer

s/ Joe Di Paola

