

## **REBUTTAL to Argument in Favor of MEASURE N**

The proponent of Measure "N" argues that a "yes" vote will ensure that the City's finances will have the best oversight possible. The only thing a yes vote on Measure "N" will ENSURE is the voters will lose their constitutional right to vote a City Treasurer in or out of Office!! Do you really want 5 people in a city of 8,000 appointing and controlling your City Treasurer? Do you really trust them to make this type of decision???

An elected City Treasurer is independent from the City Council and, by law, is responsible for the receipt, custody, deposit, disbursement and investment of all City funds. What are the qualifications and skills of the City Council who want the power to appoint a City Treasurer??

Irresponsible spending needs to be watched by an elected Treasurer. Obviously, the Council wants you to believe that it's not important to have an elected Treasurer as an INDEPENDENT check on their actions.

How can the Treasurer be truly independent if that person is hired/fired/controlled by the City Manager and City Council? Remember the City of Bell: look how that turned out with their supposed "internal" controls!

Just ask yourself: Why the city wants to change the City Treasurer's Office from Elective to Appointive?" Why the current elected City Treasurer is the sole proponent of the "argument in favor?" What do they want to hide?

**DO NOT BE FOOLED INTO GIVING UP YOUR RIGHT TO VOTE FOR YOUR CITY TREASURER!**

**VOTE NO on MEASURE N!!**

s/ Solano County Taxpayers Association, George Guynn Jr. President