

CATEGORICAL EXEMPTION/CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATION FORM

04-SOL-0-SOL	BRLS-5923 (059)
Dist.-Co.-Rte. (or Local Agency) P.M./P.M. E.A/Project No.	Federal-Aid Project No. (Local Project)/Project No.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Stevenson Road Bridge Rehabilitation

Solano County proposes a rehabilitation project on the bridge over Putah Creek (23C0092) on Stevenson Bridge Road in Solano County, California. The project includes rehabilitation to address seismic deficiencies, scour counter measures, treat and refinish deck concrete, railing, and a realignment of the south bridge approach. The project will also include vegetation and tree removal, road cut and fill, stream channel work, equipment staging areas, and utility relocation. The maximum length of a temporary road detour during construction will be 13.7 miles. Three permanent drainage and access easements and three temporary construction easements will also be required; acquisition will be approximately 1 acre (50 feet wider than existing right of way on each side of the bridge). In order to improve the safety of the existing south roadway approach to the bridge (an "S" shape geometric alignment), approximately 1,100 feet of Stevenson Bridge Road will be re-aligned to meet the 35 mph design speed. The roadway approach work will involve right of way acquisition of one privately owned farmland parcel south of the bridge; acquisition will be approximately 1.5 acres (60 feet wide and 1,100 feet long).

CEQA COMPLIANCE (for State Projects only)

Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the following statements are true and exceptions do not apply (See 14 CCR 15300 et seq.):

- If this project falls within exempt class 3, 4, 5, 6 or 11, it does not impact an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law.
- There will not be a significant cumulative effect by this project and successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time.
- There is not a reasonable possibility that the project will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances.
- This project does not damage a scenic resource within an officially designated state scenic highway.
- This project is not located on a site included on any list compiled pursuant to Govt. Code § 65962.5 ("Cortese List").
- This project does not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource.

CALTRANS CEQA DETERMINATION (Check one)

- Not Applicable – Caltrans is not the CEQA Lead Agency** **Not Applicable – Caltrans has prepared an Initial Study or Environmental Impact Report under CEQA**
- Exempt by Statute.** (PRC 21080[b]; 14 CCR 15260 et seq.)
Based on an examination of this proposal, supporting information, and the above statements, the project is:
- Categorically Exempt. Class** . (PRC 21084; 14 CCR 15300 et seq.)
- Categorically Exempt. General Rule exemption.** [This project does not fall within an exempt class, but it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity may have a significant effect on the environment (CCR 15061[b][3].)

Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name: Project Manager
Signature	Signature
Date	Date

NEPA COMPLIANCE

In accordance with 23 CFR 771.117, and based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that this project:

- does not individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment as defined by NEPA, and is excluded from the requirements to prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), and
- has considered unusual circumstances pursuant to 23 CFR 771.117(b).

CALTRANS NEPA DETERMINATION (Check one)

- 23 USC 326:** The State has determined that this project has no significant impacts on the environment as defined by NEPA, and that there are no unusual circumstances as described in 23 CFR 771.117(b). As such, the project is categorically excluded from the requirements to prepare an EA or EIS under the National Environmental Policy Act. The State has been assigned, and hereby certifies that it has carried out the responsibility to make this determination pursuant to Chapter 3 of Title 23, United States Code, Section 326 and a Memorandum of Understanding dated May 31, 2016, executed between the FHWA and the State. The State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under:
- 23 CFR 771.117(c): activity (c)(___)**
- 23 CFR 771.117(d): activity (d)(_13_)**
- Activity ___ listed in Appendix A of the MOU between FHWA and the State**
- 23 USC 327:** Based on an examination of this proposal and supporting information, the State has determined that the project is a Categorical Exclusion under 23 USC 327.

Tom Holstein	<i>Chien S. Wu</i>
Print Name: Senior Environmental Planner or Environmental Branch Chief	Print Name: Project Manager/DLA Engineer
<i>T. Holstein</i>	<i>Chien S. Wu</i>
Signature	Signature
28 Nov 2016	Nov 28, 2016
Date	Date

Date of Categorical Exclusion Checklist completion: 22Nov16 Date of ECR or equivalent : 21Nov16 (attached)

Briefly list environmental commitments on continuation sheet. Reference additional information, as appropriate (e.g., CE checklist, additional studies and design conditions).